

## MINUTES ADOPTED BY THE CITY COUNCIL

Greenville, NC  
April 8, 2004

The Greenville City Council met in a regular meeting on the above date at 7:00 PM in the City Council Chambers, third floor of the Municipal Building, with Mayor Robert D. Parrott presiding. The meeting was called to order, followed by the invocation by Reverend Steve Davis of Arlington Boulevard Church and the pledge of allegiance to the flag. The following were present.

Mayor Robert D. Parrott  
Mayor Pro-Tem Ric Miller  
Council Member Ray Craft  
Council Member Pat Dunn  
Council Member Rose H. Glover  
Council Member Chip Little  
Marvin W. Davis, City Manager  
Wanda T. Elks, City Clerk  
David A. Holec, City Attorney

COUNCIL MEMBERS ABSENT: Council Member Mildred A. Council

### APPROVAL OF AGENDA

City Manager Davis informed the Council that the following changes need to be made to the agenda:

- Consideration of funding for North Carolina High School Athletic Association
- Consideration of a FEMA Resolution
- Continuance of rezoning for Joseph Speight (Item #6)

Motion was made by Council Member Council and seconded by Council Member Craft to approve the agenda with the amendments as stated by the City Manager. Motion carried unanimously.

### SPECIAL RECOGNITIONS

Retirement plaques were presented to John I. Edwards for his retirement after 10 years of service with the Public Works Department and Annette Staton for her 35 years of service with the Recreation and Parks Department.

## APPOINTMENTS TO BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS

### Affordable Housing Loan Committee

Council Member Little requested that the appointments to replace Tameka Jones and M. Jason Williams, who resigned, be continued until May.

### Citizens Advisory Commission on Cable TV

Mayor Pro-Tem Miller requested that the appointment to replace Scott Hurst, who resigned, be continued until August.

### Community Appearance Commission

Motion was made by Council Member Craft to appoint Edna Atkinson to a first three-year term expiring April 2007 replacing Lindsey Griffin, who is ineligible for reappointment. Motion was seconded by Council Member Glover and carried unanimously.

### Environmental Advisory Commission

Motion was made by Council Member Glover and seconded by Council Member Dunn to reappoint Robert Christian and Jessica Christie to second three-year terms to expire April 2007 and to continue the vacant seat appointment. Motion carried unanimously.

### Pitt-Greenville Convention and Visitors Authority

Motion was made by Mayor Pro-Tem Miller and seconded by Council Member Craft to recommend that Pitt County appoint Thomas Hines to an unexpired term expiring July 2005 replacing Stephanie Davenport, who resigned. Motion carried unanimously.

### Recreation and Parks Commission

Motion was made by Mayor Pro-Tem Miller and seconded by Council Member Little to appoint James Bond, Jr. to an unexpired term expiring June 2004 replacing David Reid who resigned. Motion carried unanimously.

## APPOINTMENTS TO THE TASK FORCE ON PRESERVATION OF NEIGHBORHOODS AND HOUSING

Ms. Wanda Elks, City Clerk, stated that Council Member Council had informed her that she wished to recommend D. D. Garrett to represent District 1 on the Task Force on Preservation of Neighborhoods and Housing. Ms. Elks indicated that, at Council Member Council's request, she contacted Mr. Garrett, who indicated that he is willing to serve.

Motion was made by Mayor Pro-Tem Miller and seconded by Council Member Dunn to appoint D. D. Garrett to represent District 1. Motion carried unanimously.

Council Member Glover indicated that she would like to recommend Annie Suggs to represent District 2 on that Task Force.

Motion was made by Council Member Glover and seconded by Council Member Little to appoint Annie Suggs to represent District 2. Motion carried unanimously.

ORDINANCE REZONING GREYSTONE MOBILE HOME PARK, LLC (WILLIAM E. DANSEY, JR.) PROPERTY LOCATED WITHIN GREYSTONE MOBILE HOME PARK, ADJACENT TO THE EASTERN RIGHT-OF-WAY OF EAST SHELbrook DRIVE, SOUTH OF FIRE TOWER CROSSING SHOPPING CENTER, AND WEST OF EVANS MOBILE HOME PARK FROM R6MH TO CG –TO BE VOTED ON AGAIN AT NEXT MEETING

City Manager Davis reported that notice of public hearing was published in The Daily Reflector on March 29 and April 5, 2004 setting this time, date and place for a public hearing to consider a request by Greystone Mobile Home Park, LLC (William E. Dansey, Jr.) to rezone a 1.377 acre lot, located within Greystone Mobile Home Park, adjacent to the eastern right-of-way of East Shelbrook Drive, south of Fire Tower Crossing Shopping Center, and west of Evans Mobile Home Park, from R6MH to CG. The Planning and Zoning Commission voted to not recommend approval of the request at its July 15, 2003 meeting.

Mr. Hamilton delineated the property on a map and stated that the property is located within Greystone Mobile Home Park adjacent to the eastern right-of-way of East Shelbrook Drive, south of Fire Tower Crossing Shopping Center and west of Evans Mobile Home Park. The property was zoned R6MH in conjunction with an extraterritorial jurisdiction extension in 1988. Property adjacent to the north was rezoned from R6MH to CG in 1994 (3.27 acres), in 1999 (0.72 acres) and in 2001 (1.941 acres). A special use permit for Fire Tower Crossing Shopping Center Mini Storage, located immediately north on land previously part of the mobile home park, was approved by the Board of Adjustment on September 27, 2001, following rezoning from R6MH to CG in September, 2001. At that time the request for 1.94 acres of additional commercial zoning directly adjacent to the existing Fire Tower Crossing Shopping Center property was not considered a substantial change in the neighborhood commercial focus area. In combination with the previous 1.94 acres of CG, the proposed addition of 1.37 acres of CG (3.31 total acres) is considered a significant deviation for a focus area recommended for 1.5 acres (max) of retail development. If rezoned as proposed, the commercially zoned acreage of the focus area will be 7.27 acres. Fire Tower Road, between the Evans Street and Arlington Boulevard commercial focus areas, is recognized as a residential corridor. The property is located in a corridor transition area with residential development preferred. The adjacent 5.9 acres of commercial zoning to the north will serve as a neighborhood focus area. The neighborhood focus area should be restricted to this central location, and further linear expansion along Fire Tower Road should be discouraged. The Land Use Plan Map otherwise recommends high density residential to the south of Fire Tower Road and medium density residential to the north of Fire Tower Road. Further commercial component expansion of the existing neighborhood focus area should be restricted, especially along the frontage of Fire Tower Road (east or west). The existing neighborhood focus area location (spacing) is in general compliance with the Comprehensive Plan guidelines; however, the commercial retail component in combination with existing zoning is in excess of plan recommended acreage. Staff discourages commercial expansion into the residential area and the location of commercial development on

private (substandard) residential streets is not recommended. In staff's opinion, the request is not in compliance with the Comprehensive Plan and does not sufficiently meet the objectives for rezoning property.

Mayor Parrott declared the public hearing open and solicited comments from the audience.

Mr. Bill Dansey stated that there will be no linear expansion on Fire Tower Road. There are 50 acres in the mobile home park and about 175 mobile homes. He would like to see it all in mini storage space. The traffic count per hundred units is 233 per week. Currently there are 300 per day. It will not increase the traffic and doesn't increase the current linear footage. The reason a rezoning request is being made is mini storage units are not allowed anywhere else. By the time he goes through the special use process, he will need additional expansion to service the entire neighborhood.

There being no further comments, the public hearing was closed.

Motion was made by Council Member Craft and seconded by Mayor Pro-Tem Miller to adopt the ordinance rezoning the Greystone Mobile Home Park, LLC (William E. Dansey, Jr.) property involving a 1.377 acre lot, located within Greystone Mobile Home Park, adjacent to the eastern right-of-way of East Shelbrook Drive, south of Fire Tower Crossing Shopping Center, and west of Evans Mobile Home Park, from R6MH to CG. The vote was 3:2 with Mayor Pro-Tem Miller and Council Members Craft and Little voting in favor of the motion. Council Members Dunn and Glover voted in opposition. City Attorney Dave Holec announced that the ordinance is not adopted because the ordinance has to be approved by the majority of the persons eligible to vote. The ordinance will be scheduled for City Council consideration at a later meeting.

ORDINANCE REZONING PHILIP E. CARROLL PROPERTY LOCATED BETWEEN THE NORTHERN RIGHT-OF-WAY OF US HIGHWAY 264 AND THE SOUTHERN RIGHT-OF-WAY OF WHICHARD ROAD AND EAST OF US HIGHWAY 264 BYPASS, FROM CH AND GC TO OR AND CH - ADOPTED

City Manager Davis reported that notice of public hearing was published in The Daily Reflector on March 29 and April 5, 2004 setting this time, date and place for a public hearing to consider a request by Carroll & Associates, Inc. to rezone the Philip E. Carroll Property involving 52.9098 acres located between the northern right-of-way of US Highway 264 and the southern right-of-way of Whichard Road and 550+ feet east of US Highway 264 Bypass, from CH and GC to OR and CH. The Planning and Zoning Commission voted to not recommend approval of the request at its January 20, 2004 meeting.

Mr. Hamilton delineated the property on a map and stated that the total acreage in this request is 52.9098. Tract 1 is a request to rezone 24.7730 acres from CH to OR. Tract 2 is to rezone 15.1980 acres from GC to OR. Tract 3 is to rezone 12.9388 acres from GC to CH. The request is to rezone property that is located off the eastern right-of-way of Greenville Boulevard, north of Highway 264 East. Mr. Hamilton stated that the property is undeveloped. There are industrial uses, mobile home sales lots and single-family dwellings in the area. This area is located at the eastern extreme of the city's planning and zoning jurisdiction. There is heavy commercial zoning along the frontage of Greenville Boulevard and Highway 264. Tract 1 is currently zoned Heavy

Commercial. Tracts 2 and 3 are currently zoned General Commercial. Tract 3 is a change in classifications between the city's zoning and the county's zoning. Because Tracts 2 and 3 are outside of the City's jurisdiction, they have submitted an annexation petition. Mr. Hamilton explained this is the initial "city" zoning of Tracts 2 and 3. Zoning will be effective upon the effective date of annexation to the City. Mr. Hamilton stated that the estimated residential on Tracts 1 and 2 proposed to be rezoned from Commercial to Office-Residential would yield 455 multi-family units based on a site similar comparison for existing multi-family located at Campus Pointe. Maximum density of 17 units per acre would yield 595 dwellings. It is anticipated that there will be 450 dwellings at this location. Based on the amount of commercial area that is proposed to be rezoned, it is anticipated that there will be a reduction in traffic from the overall site by 3,000 trips. This property is located in the floodplain area. Structures built in the 100-year floodplain would have to be elevated to the regulatory flood elevation of 1 foot above the base flood elevation. Mr. Hamilton explained the objectives are:

- Specifically high density residential should be located outside the 100-year floodplain and the 500-year floodplain boundary. The request for zoning for Office-Residential, which allows the high density residential option, would be discouraged and not supported by the Tar River Floodplain Redevelopment Plan.
- Areas that were within the 100-year floodplain should be designed as low density residential. The Land Use Plan recommends and recognizes this is a large commercial focus area and it is likely that the continuation of business in this area would not be in a similar manner. There is also a need for some retail type service for this area. Mr. Hamilton stated that the city would encourage commercial, office or institutional and open space type development in lieu of residential for this area.

Residential development is discouraged in the floodplain areas and flood hazard areas. This area flooded during Floyd. Over 600 properties were acquired and residents bought out through the FEMA buyout program at a cost of over \$45,000,000. In accordance with the Comprehensive Plan, staff would recommend denial of this request. For OR zoning, Mr. Hamilton stated that commercial would be in compliance with the Comprehensive Plan. Mr. Hamilton stated that if it were not for the floodplain the requested zoning would be in compliance with the Comprehensive Plan.

Motion was made by Council Member Craft and seconded by Council Member Little to excuse Mayor Pro-Tem Miller from voting on this issue. Motion carried unanimously.

Mayor Parrott declared the public hearing open and solicited comments from the audience.

Mr. Phil Dixon, representing the petitioner, stated that this property is located beside of what will be the North ECU Campus, which consists of 129 acres. It will house the largest student recreational complex in the country. The present recreational facilities both inside and outside will be moved to this location. There will be a lake on this property for the purpose of wind surfing, sailing, canoeing and other recreational water sports. There will be a pool with a pavilion for outside concerts and major attractions. The university has \$6 million to start this project. Mr. Dixon stated there are plans to construct a multi-purpose facility and this site will be another campus for the university. Mr. Dixon stated that it has been discussed to construct student housing on this site. This site is four miles from the campus and will be on the bus transit route

for ECU. Mr. Dixon stated there will be an additional buffer that will include stormwater detention that will be 75 to 80 feet wide and 5 feet deep that will also be used for recreational purposes. Mr. Dixon stated that the facility will be available to the community to rent. Mr. Dixon presented a drawing that includes the rezoning request, illustrates the possibility of 600 student housing units. Mr. Dixon stated that if this recreational facility is established in the community there will be a lot of commercial development taking place in this area. Mr. Dixon explained that if City Council had not adopted the Tar River Floodplain Redevelopment Plan this would be the ideal location for student housing. Mr. Dixon asked the Commission members to review the criteria which include; impact on area streets and thoroughfares, compatibility with existing and future land uses, compatibility with existing zoning which are not issues or concerns for this site. The only concern is compatibility with the Comprehensive Plan. This property was included in the 100-year floodplain January 2, 2003. Mr. Dixon stated the university is anxious to move ahead with this project and is an ideal site for student housing. Mr. Dixon stated that the developers realize there is a risk with flooding at this site but they are prepared to develop the site in accordance with the 500-year elevation. Mr. Dixon reiterated that this is the ideal location for student housing. It will keep the students out of people's backyards.

Mr. Chris Burns stated that he has been involved with this project since its inception. There are no trees, wetlands or streams on this property. There is a remote possibility of flooding. Approval of this request will give a mixed-use facility with a tax base of \$100 million over 10 years. Greenville will be better able to provide the things it needs with that additional tax base. Having the facility at this location will provide another community focus area that will make the north side of the river a major asset to the community.

Mr. George Hamilton spoke against the request. He questioned where Greenville would get the money to bail people out during the next flood. He questioned how many people here would build their home in the 100-year floodplain. Money was spent just five years ago bailing people out from a flood. Mr. Hamilton suggested that the City require the developer to have flood insurance and be responsible for getting the residents out during the next flood. He concluded by stating that the City needs to develop smartly, and this is not smart.

There being no further comments, the public hearing was closed.

Motion was made by Council Member Craft and seconded by Council Member Little to excuse Mayor Pro-Tem Miller from voting on this issue due to a conflict of interest.

Motion was made by Council Member Craft and seconded by Council Member Little to adopt the ordinance rezoning the Philip E. Carroll Property involving 52.9098 acres located between the northern right-of way of US Highway 264 and the southern right of way of Whichard Road and east of US Highway 264 and the southern right-of-way of Whichard Road and east of US Highway 264 Bypass, from CH and GC to OR and CH. Motion carried with a vote of 3:1. Council Members Craft, Little and Glover voted in favor of the motion. Council Member Dunn voted in opposition. (NOTE: Mayor Pro-Tem Miller had been excused from voting on this issue.) (Ordinance No. 04-27)

ORDINANCE ANNEXING WINSLOW EAST, LOCATED ON THE NORTH SIDE OF US HIGHWAY 264, EAST OF US HIGHWAY 264 BYPASS, AND ON THE SOUTH SIDE OF NCSR 1523 - ADOPTED

City Manager Davis reported that notice of public hearing was published in The Daily Reflector on March 29, 2004 setting this time, date and place for a public hearing to consider a request by Philip E. Carroll to annex Winslow East, involving 28.1365 acres located on the north side of US Highway 264, 1100 feet east of US Highway 264 Bypass, and on the south side of NCSR 1523. This is a noncontiguous annexation.

Mr. Flood delineated the property on a map and stated that the property is located in Voting District 1. The property is currently vacant, and the proposed use is for 200 two-bedroom apartments. The current population is 0 and it is estimated to be 554 at full development, with 16 being minority.

Mayor Parrott declared the public hearing open and solicited comments from the audience. There being none, the public hearing was closed.

Motion was made by Council Member Little and seconded by Council Member Dunn to adopt the ordinance annexing Winslow East, involving 28.1365 acres located on the north side of US Highway 264, east of US Highway 264 Bypass, and on the south side of NCSR 1523. Motion carried unanimously. (Ordinance No. 04-27)

ORDINANCE REZONING THE JOSEPH D. AND MAXINE A. SPEIGHT AND FRANCIS AND CATHERINE P. KENNEDY PROPERTY LOCATED ALONG THE NORTHERN RIGHT OF WAY OF GREENVILLE BOULEVARD, SOUTHWEST OF KINGSTON CIRCLE, AND NORTHEAST OF DAVID DRIVE FROM R6 AND R9 TO OR - ADOPTED

City Manager Davis reported that notice of public hearing was published in The Daily Reflector on March 29 and April 5, 2004 setting this time, date and place for a public hearing to consider a request by Joseph D. Speight to rezone the Joseph D. and Maxine A. Speight and Francis and Catherine P. Kennedy Property containing 3.156 acres along the northern right-of-way of Greenville Boulevard, southwest of Kinston Circle, and 200± feet northeast of David Drive, from R6 and R9 to OR. At its March 16, 2004 meeting, the Planning and Zoning Commission voted to recommend approval of the request.

Mr. Hamilton delineated the property on a map and stated that the property has access to Greenville Boulevard and 14<sup>th</sup> Street through Laura Lane. The area proposed for R-9 zoning is approximately one acre. At the current zoning both tracts would yield 26 multi-family units, 2 and 3 bedroom units and three duplex lots. For the proposed zoning, the estimated density would be 44 multi-family units at 13 units per acre. At maximum density, which is 17 units per acre, the site would yield 54 multi-family units. At the current zoning, the site would generate 250 trips per day and at the proposed zoning would generate 400 trips per day. The proposed zoning would result in approximately 150 additional trips. The property is located on Greenville Boulevard, which is a DOT controlled road. When the Comprehensive Plan and Land Use Plan were updated, this area was changed. The previous Land Use Plan Map recommended high-density multi-family and the updated Land Use Plan Map recommends office/institutional/multi-

family. The Office-Residential district is considered office/institutional/multi-family. This request would help prevent further strip commercial development along Greenville Boulevard. Staff has no objections to the request.

Mayor Parrott declared the public hearing open and solicited comments from the audience.

Mr. Mike Baldwin, representing the petitioner, stated that the request meets the criteria for rezoning amendments. It is not the applicant's intent to develop anything other than office type use on this property. Mr. Baldwin agreed that Greenville Boulevard is congested but does not believe this rezoning will create additional hardship.

There being no further comments, the public hearing was closed.

Motion was made by Council Member Dunn and seconded by Mayor Pro-Tem Miller to adopt the ordinance rezoning the Joseph D. and Maxine A. Speight and Francis and Catherine P. Kennedy Property containing 3.1256 acres long the northern right-of-way of Greenville Boulevard, southwest of Kinston Circle, and 200± feet northeast of David Drive, from R6 and R9 to OR. Motion carried unanimously. (Ordinance No. 04-29)

ORDINANCE REZONING ALMA LEE DIXON PARAMORE HEIRS PROPERTY LOCATED NORTH OF THE INTERSECTION OF EVANS STREET AND FIRE TOWER ROAD, WEST AND SOUTH OF WHITE OAK CREEK SUBDIVISION, FROM RA20 TO R9S - ADOPTED

City Manager Davis reported that notice of public hearing was published in The Daily Reflector on March 29 and April 5, 2004 setting this time, date and place for a public hearing to consider a request by Alma Lee Dixon Paramore Heirs to rezone a 46.4534 acre tract located 500± feet north of the intersection of Evans Street and Fire Tower Road, west and south of White Oak Creek Subdivision, from RA20 to R9S. At its March 16, 2004 meeting, the Planning and Zoning Commission voted to recommend approval of the request.

Mr. Harry Hamilton, Chief Planner, delineated the property on a map and stated that the request was originally submitted to the Planning and Zoning Commission as a three-tract request. The petitioners requested single family residential, office, and medium density multi-family. During the Planning and Zoning Commission meeting, the applicant requested that Tracts 2 and 3 be continued. The Planning and Zoning Commission only considered Tract 1 and forwarded that recommendation to the City Council. The surrounding development consists of Treetops Subdivision to the south of Fire Tower Road, South Hall Subdivision, and White Oak Creek Subdivision. These areas contain single-family development and there is some existing multi-family in the area, including Treetops and the areas north adjacent to Fork Swamp Canal. Fork Swamp Canal is a major drainage feature that bisects Tract 2, which is not under consideration tonight that forms a northeastern boundary of Tract 1. There are wetlands, floodplains, etc. associated with that environmental feature. There have been a number of requests recently concerning this 100-acre parent tract. There was originally a request for a substantial amount of commercial development at the immediate intersection, some office along Fire Tower Road, and medium density multi-family in basically the same location as Tract 2. At that time the Planning and Zoning Commission requested that they continue the request and asked that the applicant

resubmit a comprehensive petition that took into account the entire 100 acres tract. All those requests were withdrawn prior to City Council's consideration. The 16-acre corner tract was also the subject of a Land Use Plan map amendment request by the property owner, which was reduced by two acres by the Planning and Zoning Commission. However, that was not passed by the City Council in the recent update to the Land Use Plan map. Fire Tower Road to the north and Evans Street to the east are both designated in the Land Use Plan map and Comprehensive Plan as residential corridors. Limited office would be recommended along and oriented toward Fire Tower Road. That is the type of pattern that would be preferred for nonresidential development in the northeast quantum. Linear expansion north along Evans Street in this manner is discouraged due to the expressed desires of the area neighborhood residents and in accordance with the past actions of the City Council in approving the Land Use Plan as it currently exists. The neighborhoods have often expressed their concern about maintaining a low intensity suburban residential character for this portion of this thoroughfare along that residential corridor. The proposed R9 category will facilitate compliance with the medium density recommendation in the Comprehensive Plan. That is the type of development, single family large lot development, that the people in the immediate area of White Oak Creek and South Hall Subdivisions area certainly want to encourage. However, staff is also concerned about piecemeal zoning of a 100-acre tract in such a high growth area and in consideration of all the recent multiple development proposals that they have had, both the zoning and proposed amendments to the Land Use Plan. Staff is concerned about the remainder parcel, the 15 or so acres at the immediate intersection. The 500 by 1200 foot area remaining in the northeast intersection is too deep to accommodate office development in accordance with the Plan recommendations. It is also too narrow to facilitate residential development. A single-family pattern in the intervening area between that would be certainly recommended for office at the immediate intersection in Tract 1. It is a small area there and they are certainly concerned about the future zoning and use of that property. For those reasons, staff would recommend comprehensive submission of all of this property in a rezoning request where they can look at all the zoning at the same time. They would not be in favor of this type of piecemeal zoning especially with respect to the size of this property and its strategic location. The recommendation for residential which Tract 1 is a part of is for single family medium density residential and the R9S proposal is in compliance with that recommendation.

Council Member Little stated that Tract 1 in its current zoning is already RA20 and technically a preliminary plat could be submitted with the same type of lot configuration as would be proposed, if it is rezoned to R9S without any need for a zoning change.

Mr. Hamilton stated that the lot size is a 1,000 square feet larger, which is minimum. The side setback is a little bit more. A large lot subdivision could be put in a minimum density development (i.e. South Hall Subdivision) which is currently zoned RA20.

Council Member Little stated that they could do a 10,000 sq. ft. lot, which is basically what R9S is for the most part.

Mr. Hamilton stated that is correct. Again, there are several subdivisions around the City that are developed in that manner in RA20.

Council Member Little questioned the concern about vacant or residual land on the corner that is apparently not a part of any master plan at this point. He questioned if a proposal ever comes before the Council to rezone that, if there is a possibility that could potentially be a 100 foot or 150 foot buffer of land that just does not get rezoned.

Mr. Hamilton stated that is always a possibility, but they believe it would probably be unlikely that someone would propose that. It might be something that Council may require in the future. The depth of the property is not quite double of what is south of South Hall; but it is considerably deeper than the South Hall office area. The intent would be to focus that off toward Fire Tower Road as it is focused on this side. It would be to back residential up to that office development. The problem is that it is unlikely that there is going to be a lot of cooperation between the owner or the developer of Tract 1 to put a road in this area that would allow development off the south side of the road and only be able to build off the other side of the road. That is not typical and certainly not expected. It is staff's position and concern that the intervening area will be used improperly in the future by default. Also, that this action will, in fact, create a space that will not be able to be used for the proper purposes as recommended in the Plan. In the best case scenario, they are going to end up with office that is to a depth of about twice of what is here that is now across from homes where there is potential lighting twenty four hours a day. It is staff's opinion that people have expressed their desire to maintain this rural suburban character for residential on this corridor and this is not in keeping with their past desires which they have expressed to staff and the Planning and Zoning Commission. That is the problem that they are facing.

Council Member Craft questioned whether staff has taken a position before and whether they looked at the rezoning request as being piecemealed.

Mr. Hamilton stated that it is their responsibility to point out to the City Council an action that it takes and the result of what that action might be. The result of that action may likely be an increase in the office area and potential entertainment for commercial zoning, as has been requested by the people who own this property recently through rezonings and land use plan amendment requests. Staff has an obligation to at least advise the City Council that this is a likely scenario that may happen.

Council Member Craft stated that he understands being advised that it might happen. He questioned when this request came up before, were there only parts of it requested for rezoning.

Mr. Hamilton stated that the original request was for the corner, the large commercial area.

Council Member Craft stated that when the Planning and Zoning Commission considered it before, they asked that it be put off because they wanted to see the whole tract rezoned. There was no opposition from staff that it was being piecemealed and that staff would like to see the whole tract.

Mr. Hamilton stated that staff was definitely in opposition of those initial requests. The difference between the two is that what they were proposing at that time was not in accordance with the Land Use Plan recommendations. Staff opposed their patterns of zoning as they submitted them to the Planning and Zoning Commission. Here, the situation is where the

particular request, Tract 1, looked at individually is in compliance with the plan. But, the result of the rezoning of that tract is going to have a reciprocal affect on the corner and that is the dilemma that they face. It is a case of not necessarily recommending denial of Tract 1. Although, that is their only option to stop the proposal and it would certainly be a recommendation. Staff's concern is the corner tract and the result of what they do.

Council Member Dunn asked the acreage on the corner tract that is not recommended for anything.

Mr. Hamilton responded that it is about 15 acres.

Council Member Dunn asked if this is the property that was asked to be commercial when the Council was considering the Comprehensive Plan.

Mr. Hamilton stated that is correct. The dimension north and east as well as the acreage was right at what was requested, around 16 acres by the owner.

Council Member Dunn stated that the Comprehensive Plan now would have about half of that into offices/institution.

Council Member Little questioned whether the City Council has the ability to rezone property other than what is on the board.

Mr. Hamilton responded that Council could initiate a rezoning request.

Mayor Parrott opened the public hearing and solicited comments from the audience.

Mr. Phil Dixon, on behalf of the petitioner, stated that the Planning and Zoning Commission voted to approve this request. The Commission struggled with the same issues that City Council has been struggling with. Right now, they could put single family development in RA20. The peculiar thing is that this particular request complies with the Horizons Plan and the future Land Use Plan map. The surrounding land uses are single family residential. On the outside there are four single-family residential areas. This is really the ideal location and is what they are hoping for and want to see at this location. Mr. Clark's original request did include three separate tracts. The Planning and Zoning Commission continued the other two. Their only request at this time is the particular tract that contains 46.4 acres or about 37.2 acres net that would go from RA20 to R9S. To the best of his knowledge, this is the same rezoning for all of the property surrounding it. He believes that the South Hall Subdivision is RA20 and it is the same development. Mr. Dixon referred to the rezoning handbook and criteria that the City is supposed to follow and stated that this request meets all the criteria for approving a rezoning. The greatest concern lies with traffic issues. Mr. Clark does not have an option on the property at the corner of Evans Street Extension/Fire Tower Road that has been a subject of a lot of controversy in recent months. Mr. Clark does not desire to purchase that tract of land. Mr. Dixon requested that the City Council consider this particular request separate and apart from any future requests. It is very noteworthy that improvements are planned for Fire Tower Road. The construction was scheduled for 2004, but now the City is not planning to have it quite so quickly. The West Fire Tower Road already has an ultimate design capacity of 35,000 vehicles per day. The current

Average Daily Traffic volume is only 21,000 vehicles per day. So, it is only at 60 per cent of the design capacity. The East Fire Tower Road has an ultimate design capacity of 35,000 vehicles per day and the current ADT is only 26,100 vehicles per day, which is less than 75 per cent of the ultimate design capacity. It is anticipated in all these situations and the traffic impact study will disclose a lot things that they could do to make things better. There are already some major items in place for something to be addressed. This property will build out not until 2011. There is quite a bit of time between the time that construction starts and Mr. Clark would like to start right away. But, between now and that time of build out in 2011, he would anticipate substantial improvements taking place in that particular area. This tract is situated east of Evans Street extended across the street from South Hall. Evans Street is a State maintained road, which has already been widened into multiple lanes, and curb and gutter is in several places. Evans Street north of the site has an estimated ADT of 26,800. With the current zoning, it has 26,800 vehicles. With a proposed rezoning, it is 27,600 vehicles. Evans Street south of this site has an estimated ADT of 16,500 vehicles with the current zoning and only 17,000 with the proposed rezoning of all three tracts. They are only talking about one of the three tracts, and that would only be a 3% increase. They believe that the rezoning of this particular tract really does not have a significant impact on traffic at all. Again, they wish they had further control of that corner. Mr. Dixon requested that the Council allow them to proceed with this particular project because he believes that it is in compliance with all the requirements that Council has set forth.

There being no further comments, the public hearing was closed.

Motion was made by Council Member Little and seconded by Mayor Pro-Tem Miller to adopt the ordinance rezoning the Alma Lee Paramore Heirs property containing a 46.4534-acre tract located north of the intersection of Evans Street and Fire Tower Road, west and south of White Oak Creek Subdivision, from RA20 to R9S. Motion carried unanimously. (Ordinance No. 04-30)

ORDINANCE REZONING GRAYDON P. JACKSON, JR. AND BARBARA J. DENNIS  
PROPERTY LOCATED ALONG THE NORTHERN RIGHT-OF-WAY OF OLD FIRE  
TOWER ROAD AND WEST OF COUNTY HOME ROAD, FROM RA20 TO CG -  
ADOPTED

City Manager Davis reported that notice of public hearing was published in The Daily Reflector on March 29 and April 5, 2004 setting this time, date and place for a public hearing to consider a request by Graydon P. Jackson, Jr. and Barbara J. Dennis to rezone a 5.59 acre tract located along the northern right of way of Old Fire Tower Road and 210± feet west of County Home Road, from RA20 to CG. At its March 16, 2004 meeting, the Planning and Zoning Commission voted to recommend approval of the request.

Mr. Hamilton delineated the property on a map and stated that this property is the Jackson Mobile Home Park. This is a relatively low-density mobile home park development due to its configuration. The Covington Down area is a major focus zone for the City. Some of the areas to the south are zoned OR and some remain zoned RA20. The Land Use Plan recognizes that single-family development is not appropriate in this area. The existing zoning is not in compliance with the Comprehensive Plan. This property abuts the existing commercial area and does separate some industrial zoning from Covington Downs. The current average daily trips on

Old Fire Tower Road is 500 trips per day. The design average daily trips is 12,000. The current zoning would generate approximately 150 trips. The proposed zoning 1,000 trips or 850 additional trips could be generated from a commercial development on this site. It is staff's opinion that the project and being contiguous to a focus zone would not be a substantial expansion of the planned commercial area. The request is not in strict compliance with the Land Use Plan Map but is in general compliance with the Plan's intent. It doesn't contribute to the linear expansion of the commercial developments on the major thoroughfares in the area, which is the primary concern.

Mayor Parrott declared the public hearing open and solicited comments from the audience.

Mr. Ken Malpass, representing the applicants, spoke on behalf of the request. Mr. Malpass stated that the full intent of the request is to add this to Covington Downs and construct a commercial building with access to Fire Tower Road.

There being no further comments, the public hearing was closed.

Motion was made by Council Member Little and seconded by Mayor Pro-Tem Miller to adopt the ordinance rezoning the Graydon P. Jackson, Jr. and Barbara J. Dennis property containing a 5.59-acre tract located along the northern right of way of Old Fire Tower Road and 210± feet west of County Home Road, from RA20 to CG. Motion carried unanimously. (Ordinance No. 04-31)

ORDINANCE REZONING VAIL PROPERTIES, LLC PROPERTY LOCATED AT THE NORTHEAST CORNER OF THE INTERSECTION OF COREY ROAD AND VICKSBURG DRIVE, FROM RR TO R15S - ADOPTED

City Manager Davis reported that notice of public hearing was published in The Daily Reflector on March 29 and April 5, 2004 setting this time, date and place for a public hearing to consider a request by Vail Properties, LLC to rezone a 6.0558 acre tract located at the northeast corner of the intersection of Corey Road and Vicksburg Drive, from RR to R15S. At its March 16, 2004 meeting, the Planning and Zoning Commission voted to recommend approval of the request.

Mr. Hamilton delineated the property on a map and stated that this is a request to rezone property from Rural Residential, which is a county jurisdiction zone, to R15S, which is a city jurisdiction zone. The County Rural Residential is a low-density residential category. The R15S zone is a low-density single-family category under the City's zoning. Rural Residential allows a variety of uses under the County's regulations that far exceed what is allowed under the City's residential zoning. This is a joint request to initially zone property and to annex the property into the City so that the property owner may take advantage of sewer in the area and extend sewer into the site to construct single-family dwellings. The Land Use Plan Map recommends medium density residential in the area. The City's planning area extends out into the County's jurisdiction. This is one of the reasons why the City's planning area extends beyond the extraterritorial jurisdiction. The request is in compliance with the Comprehensive Plan and staff has no objection.

Mayor Parrott declared the public hearing open and solicited comments from the audience.

Mr. Steve Spruill, representing Vail Properties, spoke on behalf of the request. Mr. Spruill stated that the request meets all the criteria for rezoning.

There being no further comments, the public hearing was closed.

Motion was made by Council Member Dunn and seconded by Mayor Pro-Tem Miller to adopt the ordinance rezoning the Vail Properties, LLC property containing a 6.0558-acre tract located at the northeast corner of the intersection of Corey Road and Vicksburg Drive, from RR to R15S. Motion carried unanimously. (Ordinance No. 04-32)

ORDINANCE ANNEXING VICKSBURG, SECTION 2, LOCATED ON THE EAST SIDE OF NCSR 1709, SOUTH OF PINE DRIVE - ADOPTED

City Manager Davis reported that notice of public hearing was published in The Daily Reflector on March 29, 2004 setting this time, date and place for a public hearing to consider a request by Vail Properties, LLC to annex Vicksburg, Section 2, involving 8.3456 acres located on the east side of NCSR 1709, about 190 feet south of Pine Drive. This is a contiguous annexation.

Mr. Merrill Flood, Interim Director of Planning and Community Development, delineated the property on a map and stated that the property is located in Voting District 5. The property is currently vacant, and the proposed use is for 10 single-family dwellings. The current population is 0 and it is estimated to be 24 at full development, with 4 being minority.

Mayor Parrott declared the public hearing open and solicited comments from the audience.

Mr. Steve Spruill, representing the petitioners, was present to answer questions regarding the request.

There being no further comments, the public hearing was closed.

Motion was made by Council Member Dunn and seconded by Mayor Pro-Tem Miller to adopt the ordinance annexing Vicksburg, Section 2, involving 8.3456 acres located on the east side of NCSR 1709, about 190 feet south of Pine Drive. Motion carried unanimously. (Ordinance No. 04-33)

ORDINANCE ANNEXING THE COVENGTON DOWNE SUBDIVISION, BLOCK A, LOT 5, LOCATED ON THE NORTH SIDE OF TURNBURY DRIVE AND EAST OF ARLINGTON BOULEVARD - ADOPTED

City Manager Davis reported that notice of public hearing was published in The Daily Reflector on March 29, 2004 setting this time, date and place for a public hearing to consider a request by The Covengton Group, Ltd. to annex Covengton Downe Subdivision, involving 0.839 acres located on the north side of Turnbury Drive and 676 feet east of Arlington Boulevard. This is a contiguous annexation.

Mr. Flood delineated the property on a map and stated that the property is located in Voting District 5. The property is currently vacant, and the proposed use is for a 14,000 square foot office building. The current population is 0 and is estimated to be 0 at full development.

Mayor Parrott declared the public hearing open and solicited comments from the audience. There being none, the public hearing was closed.

Motion was made by Council Member Craft and seconded by Council Member Dunn to adopt the ordinance annexing Covington Downe Subdivision, involving 0.839 acres located on the north side of Turnbury Drive and 676 feet east of Arlington Boulevard. Motion carried unanimously. (Ordinance No. 04-35)

ORDINANCE ANNEXING ASHCROFT, SECTION ONE LOCATED NORTH OF FIRE TOWER ROAD AND BEING AT THE INTERSECTION OF WICKHAM DRIVE AND QUARTERPATH DRIVE - ADOPTED

City Manager Davis reported that notice of public hearing was published in The Daily Reflector on March 29, 2004 setting this time, date and place for a public hearing to consider a request by Reggie Spain Construction to annex Ashcroft, Section One, involving 1.98 acres located north of Fire Tower Road and being at the intersection of Wickham Drive and Quarterpath Drive. This is a contiguous annexation.

Mr. Flood delineated the property on a map and stated that the property is located in Voting District 5. The property is currently vacant, and the proposed use is for four single-family dwellings. The current population is 0 and is estimated to be 9 at full development with 2 being minority.

Mayor Parrott declared the public hearing open and solicited comments from the audience. There being none, the public hearing was closed.

Motion was made by Council Member Dunn and seconded by Mayor Pro-Tem Miller to adopt the ordinance annexing Ashcroft, Section One, involving 1.98 acres located north of Fire Tower Road and being at the intersection of Wickham Drive and Quarterpath Drive. Motion carried unanimously. (Ordinance No. 04-35)

LEASE AGREEMENT WITH WEST GREENVILLE REGIONAL RESOURCES, INC. FOR SPACE IN THE EPPES CENTER – ADOPTED

Motion was made by Council Member Glover and seconded by Mayor Pro-Tem Miller to allow Mr. Ozzie Hall to speak and to limit the time to three minutes. Motion carried unanimously.

Mr. Ozzie Hall, Executive Director of West Greenville Regional Resources, Inc., explained to the Council that he had several people present who had wished to speak regarding what West Greenville Regional Resources, Inc. does for the community. This organization provides an afterschool program at the Eppes Center. East Carolina University students volunteer their time to assist in this endeavor. They have been building collaborations with other organizations, such as the Affordable Housing Community Development Corporation. In the past, under Weed and

Seed, the office at the end of the hall used to be part of the program and it was not included the last time. They have been making space available for the Affordable Housing Community Development Corporation, which has a need for space to do housing counseling. Mr. Hall requested that the Council expand the lease so it will include that room for them or either lease the room directly to the Affordable Housing Community Development Corporation.

Motion was made by Council Member Craft and seconded by Mayor Pro-Tem Miller to renew the lease with West Greenville Regional Resources for one year. Motion carried unanimously. (Contract No. 1319)

CONSIDERATION OF FUNDING TO BRING THE NORTH CAROLINA HIGH SCHOOL ATHLETIC ASSOCIATION'S EASTERN REGIONAL PLAYOFFS TO GREENVILLE

City Manager Davis stated that this is a continuation of the discussion that began Monday night when Henry Hinton, on behalf of the Chamber of Commerce, requested that the City participate in trying to bring the North Carolina High School Athletic Association's Eastern Regional Playoffs to Greenville.

Council Member Little stated that this would be a wonderful opportunity to showcase Greenville to the rest of the State. He is prepared to make a motion to make the funds available for the first year, but would like for the City to try to develop strategies for bringing other groups together, whether it be through the Convention and Visitors Authority or another group.

Mayor Parrott stated that monies will come back to the City and County. Also, the publicity the City will receive will be great. It helps the University in that it brings people there.

Mayor Pro-Tem Miller stated that Greenville has the Eastern Regional Basketball Tournament here now. This is another opportunity for Greenville. Maybe a portion of the Convention and Visitors Authority money could be used. Mayor Pro-Tem Miller expressed that he thought the Council should try this for one year to see how it works.

Council Member Dunn asked if the Council can approve this with the idea to seek monies from other constituencies that may participate.

Mayor Parrott stated that all the Council has to do tonight is agree to underwrite the funds.

City Manager Davis suggested the following motion: to authorize up to \$50,000, to solicit public and private partners, to form an advocacy group, and to have financial accountability for funds the city contributes.

Mayor Parrott stated that they should say they will pursue public dollars.

Motion was made by Council Member Little and seconded by Council Member Craft to authorize up to \$50,000, to solicit public partners, to form an advocacy group, and to have financial accountability for funds the city contributes. Motion carried unanimously.

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING MERRILL FLOOD, INTERIM DIRECTOR OF PLANNING AND COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT, TO EXECUTE THE FEMA APPLICATION REGARDING HURRICANE ISABEL AND TO FILE IT IN THE APPROPRIATE STATE OFFICE FOR THE PURPOSE OF OBTAINING CERTAIN FEDERAL FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE UNDER THE DISASTER RELIEF ACT – ADOPTED

Motion was made by Mayor Pro-Tem Miller and seconded by Council Member Craft to adopt the resolution authorizing Merrill Flood, Interim Director of Planning and Community Development, to execute the FEMA application regarding Hurricane Isabel and to file it in the appropriate State office for the purpose of obtaining certain Federal financial assistance under the Disaster Relief Act. Motion carried unanimously. (Resolution No. 04-14)

RESOLUTIONS ESTABLISHING NORTH CAROLINA GENERAL ASSEMBLY LEGISLATIVE INITIATIVES - ADOPTED

The 2004 legislative initiatives that the Council was presented for consideration, as discussed at the April 5 meeting, included the following:

- Seeking enactment of legislation relating to the redirection of the motor vehicle registration fee formerly imposed for the Global TransPark Development Zone
- Seeking enactment of legislation relating to the flexibility in the development of parking deck projects
- Seeking enactment of legislation relating to the removal of junked motor vehicles with a value of five hundred dollars or less
- Supporting Pitt County in its efforts in seeking the enactment of legislation relating to an expansion of the permissible expenditure of funds derived from the 911 charge
- Supporting the Eastern Carolina Cardiovascular Institute

Motion was made by Council Member Craft and seconded by Council Member Little to adopt the resolutions establishing North Carolina Assembly Legislative Initiatives. Motion carried unanimously. (Resolution Nos. 04-06, 04-07, 04-08, 04-09, 04-10)

MUNICIPAL AGREEMENT WITH THE NORTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION FOR THE STANTONSBURG ROAD-TENTH STREET CONNECTOR PROJECT - APPROVED

Motion was made by Council Member Craft and seconded by Mayor Pro-Tem Miller to approve the Municipal Agreement with the North Carolina Department of Transportation setting out the responsibilities between the City of Greenville and the North Carolina Department of Transportation for the Stantonburg Road - Tenth Street Connector Project and to approve the resolution. Motion carried unanimously. (Contract No. 13-16; Resolution No. 04-11)

RESOLUTION APPROVING A STATE WATER RESOURCES DEVELOPMENT GRANT APPLICATION FOR THE ELM STREET PARK DRAINAGE PROJECT – ADOPTED

Mr. Tom Tysinger, Director of Public Works, informed the Council that several citizens have approached the City about the erosion problem along the eastern side of the tennis courts at Elm

Street Park and the adverse impact to an adjacent stream. As a result, staff has worked with North Carolina State University Cooperation Extension Center to develop two bio-retention solutions that will solve the erosion problem, improve water quality and provide the City with a hands on educational tool. The Engineering Division has identified the Water Resource Development Project Grant as a possible funding source. This is a matching grant, in which 66% of project costs will be paid by the State and 33% by local government. The total project cost is estimated to be \$34,000. Of that, the State will reimburse the City for approximately \$22,000. The Public Works Department will contribute the remainder of the costs (\$12,000) through in-kind services. This would include labor, equipment and sand. The funds for the project will come from the Stormwater Utility Fund.

Motion was made by Council Member Craft and seconded by Mayor Pro-Tem Miller to authorize submission of the grant application and approve the resolution. Motion carried unanimously. (Resolution No. 04-12)

#### RESOLUTION AWARDING A CONTRACT FOR THE GREENE STREET BRIDGE RELOCATION PROJECT - ADOPTED

Mr. Tysinger stated that bids were received and opened for the Greene Street Bridge Relocation Project on February 20, 2004. The bid is over the available funds for construction by approximately \$155,000. Additionally, \$45,000 is needed for testing, engineering inspection services, and construction contingency. In order to complete this project in an appropriate manner, an additional \$200,000 is needed to supplement the funds already committed to this project. A request for the additional \$200,000 was forwarded to NCDOT. The staff has received verbal confirmation that the Department of Transportation will recommend to the Board of Transportation that \$160,000 from the Governor's Moving Ahead Program be allocated to this project provided the City commits an additional \$40,000, thus making up the additional \$200,000 needed for the project. This represents an 80/20 share of the additional funds needed for the project. With the \$40,000 commitment, the City's share of the project is \$241,840 and the State's is \$967,360 for a total project cost of \$1,209,200.

Mayor Pro-Tem Miller stated that it will cost more than the \$40,000 being allocated if the City does not complete the project. The Council needs to stand by the decision of the previous Council that decided to relocate the Greene Street Bridge.

Mayor Parrott stated that this will be an asset to the community when the greenway is completed.

Motion was made by Council Member Dunn and seconded by Mayor Pro-Tem Miller to adopt the resolution awarding a contract to M&J Construction Company in the amount of \$1,084,640.68 subject to North Carolina Department of Transportation's commitment of an additional \$160,000 and Concurrence in Award by the Board of Transportation and the City's commitment of an additional \$40,000 the project. Motion carried unanimously. (Resolution No. 04-13, Contract No. 1317)

CONTRACT AWARD FOR THE DESIGN OF THE CARVER LIBRARY  
EXPANSION/RENOVATION - APPROVED

Mr. Tysinger stated that an architectural selection committee made up of staff from Public Works, the Library Director and Librarian, and a representative from the Library Board of Trustees selected JKF Architecture from five firms expressing interest in the project. Of the five firms the Selection Committee interviewed two and reached consensus on JKF Architecture because of his recent experience with similar library projects. The proposed scope of work includes complete architectural/engineering services necessary to construct the project. The proposed lump sum fee of \$78,500 is based on an estimated construction cost of \$903,000 and represents approximately 8.5 percent of the expected construction cost. Staff considers this fee reasonable in that the schedule for this project is very aggressive requiring construction bids be received by the end of July 2004 in order to present a construction contract to City Council in August 2004.

Motion was made by Council Member Glover and seconded by Council Member Craft to award a professional services contract to JKF Architecture for the planning, design, and contract administration necessary to complete the Carver Branch Library Expansion/Renovation Project. Motion carried unanimously. (Contract No. 1318)

GRANT APPLICATION TO BE MADE BY THE FIRE/RESCUE DEPARTMENT FOR  
PURPOSES OF GIS - APPROVED

Chief Roy Spell explained that the Department has an opportunity to apply for a FEMA Fire Grant from the 2004 Assistance to Firefighters Grant Program. Through this grant, funds may be allocated to help the nation's fire service by providing vital funds to local fire departments. The program provides a 70/30 funding. The City has been successful the last three years in receiving grants from FEMA. The grant application would be prepared requesting a GIS mapping system to provide critical supporting information to emergency response vehicles and incident commander. If the grant is received, the City would have to allocate \$32,202 and the Federal government portion would be \$75,138.

Motion was made by Mayor Pro-Tem Miller and seconded by Council Member Little to approve the submittal of a grant application to be made by the Fire/Rescue Department for purposes of GIS. Motion carried unanimously. (Contract No. 1320)

CONTRACT AWARD FOR THE 2004 STREET RESURFACING PROJECT - APPROVED

Mr. David Brown, City Engineer, informed the Council that the proposals for the 2004 Street Resurfacing Project have been opened. Funding for this project was approved in the amount of \$470,000 from the Powell Bill. It is recommended that the Council award the contract to Greenville Paving & Contracting Inc. in the amount of \$446,997.15, with a contingency of \$22,349.86, and a total project budget cost of \$469,347.01.

Motion was made by Mayor Pro-Tem Miller and seconded by Council Member Little to approve the contract for the 2004 Street Resurfacing Project to Greenville Paving and Contracting, Inc. in the amount of \$446,997.15. Motion carried unanimously. (Contract No. 1322)

REPORT OF BIDS AWARDED

City Manager Davis informed the Council that the following bids had been awarded:

<u>Date</u>	<u>Item Description</u>	<u>Awarded To</u>	<u>Amount</u>
2/25/04	Furniture for Convention Center	Commercial Food Equipment	\$62,932.06
*3/9/04	2003 Ford E450SD, Type III EMS Unit	Wheeled Coach of NC	\$86,000.00
3/17/04	Contract for Traffic Counts at Various Locations	Peggy Malone and Associates	\$32,812.00
3/23/04	Materials and Labor to Resurface and Paint Tennis Courts at Jaycee Park and Thomas Foreman Park	McCourt North Construction	\$18,185.00
3/23/04	Materials and Labor to Pave Tennis Courts with new asphalt	Moses Asphalt Repair	\$15,500.00

\*This purchase was made under NCGS 143-129(e)(3)-Cooperative Purchasing Agreement with Florida Sheriff's Association.

COMMENTS FROM MAYOR AND CITY COUNCILRecognition of Community Appearance Commission Awards

Council Member Glover reported that the Police Community Relations Committee will be meeting in District 3 on Wednesday, April 14, at Wahl-Coates School.

CITY MANAGER'S REPORTGood News Report

City Manager Davis informed the Council of a recent home invasion in which the suspect escaped in a car. The Police Department assisted in capturing the suspect after an extensive car and foot chase. Because of good interdepartmental cooperation and quick decisions, the suspect was apprehended.

Reminder of Upcoming Meetings

Motion was made by Council Member Dunn and seconded by Council Member Little to have a joint meeting with Greenville Utilities Commission at 5:30 p.m. on April 20 in the Greenville

Utilities Board Room and to have a City council meeting at 4:00 on April 20 in the City Council Chambers. Motion carried unanimously.

Motion was made by Council Member Glover and seconded by Council Member Dunn to cancel the April 19, 2004 City Council meetings. Motion carried unanimously.

Consideration of a Hard-Hat Tour of River Park North

Motion was made by Council Member Dunn and seconded by Council Member Glover to schedule a hard-hat tour of River Park North on April 19 at 4:30 p.m. Motion carried unanimously.

ADJOURN

Motion was made by Council Member Craft and seconded by Council Member Dunn to adjourn the meeting at 9:45 p.m. Motion carried unanimously.

Respectfully submitted,

Wanda T. Elks  
City Clerk